

2006-2007 SES PRELIMINARY EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME: **Catapult Online**

DISTRICTS SERVED: **School City of East Chicago, Gary Com. Schools**

OF STUDENTS SIGNED UP: **37** (English/Language Arts); **48** (Mathematics)

2006-2007 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: **B**

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

SERVICE DELIVERY: **B+**

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS: **D+**

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	0%
Overall score:	not applicable

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting:	100%
District recommends continuation?:	100% of districts recommend continuation

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting:	55%
Overall Score:	2.5 out of 4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: **B**

SERVICE DELIVERY

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	0%
Overall score:	not applicable

DISTRICT REPORT:

% of districts reporting:	100%
Overall score:	100% (30/30 possible points)

PRINCIPAL REPORT:

% of principals reporting:	55%
Overall score:	2.0 out of 4.0

ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:	4.0 out of 4.0
-------------------------------	----------------

Go to ([http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonitor0607/Catapult's%2006-07%20On-site%20monitoring%20Report%20\(5-1-07\).pdf](http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonitor0607/Catapult's%2006-07%20On-site%20monitoring%20Report%20(5-1-07).pdf)) to view the Onsite Monitoring Report from 2006-2007

SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE: **B+**

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS

COMPLETION RATE:	58%
------------------	-----

% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS	52%
-----------------------------	-----

TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:	CAT
--------------------------------------	-----

% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS	58%
-----------------------------	-----

% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS:	77.1%
(Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at least one session)	

In order to be included in the ISTEP+ analysis provided below, students must have completed 80% of their SES sessions, must not have been retained from 2006 to 2007, and must have ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007.

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For students served by Catapult in 2006-2007, 80% made scale score gains on ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts, exceeding the statewide average for all SES students. 84% made gains in Math, also exceeding the statewide average for all SES students in that subject. Catapult exceeded the statewide average for all SES students making substantial (one year's) gains in both Math (52%) and English/Language Arts (67%). The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ decreased from 53% to 47% from 2006 to 2007 in English/Language Arts, but grew slightly from 64% to 68% in Math.

Category	Catapult (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	Catapult (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
# of students	15	1675	25	1645
% showing growth on ISTEP+ scale score	80%	71%	84%	73%
% showing substantial (one year's) growth on ISTEP+ scale score**	67%	49%	52%	49%
% passing ISTEP+ (2006)	53%	43%	64%	52%
% passing ISTEP+ (2007)	47%	42%	68%	51%

*Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions, were not retained from grades 2006-2007, and have ISTEP+ scores for 2006 and 2007.

**Substantial growth (one year's growth) is defined as making a large enough scale score gain to pass ISTEP+ from one year to the next.

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

When possible, each student who participated in SES, completed 80% of his or her sessions, and had ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007 was matched with a similar student who was eligible for but did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2006 ISTEP+ scale score. The charts below provide the results of the matched comparison. The matched comparison provides a context in which to place the gains or losses made by SES students. By looking at the charts below, it can be determined whether students served by this SES provider performed about the same as similar students who did not participate in SES; worse than similar students who did not participate in SES; or better than similar students who did not participate in SES. For Catapult, 20 matches out of 25 participating students (80%) were made for Math, and 15 matches out of 15 participating students (100%) were made for English/Language Arts.

MATHEMATICS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES	20	80%	90%	70%	41.6	75%
Not SES			75%	50%	33.1	75%

As shown in the chart above, 90% of the SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to 75% of the non-SES students. 70% of the SES students showed one year's growth, compared to 50% of the similar, non-SES students. The same percentage of SES and similar, non-participating students passed ISTEP+ in 2007 (75%).

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES	15	100%	80%	67%	25.9	47%
Not SES			87%	67%	33.4	53%

As shown in the chart above, 87% of the eligible, non-participating students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to fewer (80%) of the SES students. 67% of both SES students and similar, non-SES students showed one year's growth. However, 53% of the non-SES students passed ISTEP+ in 2007, compared to 47% of the SES students.

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages). Additional statistical analyses, including results disaggregated by district and grade level, will be conducted in the statewide evaluation of SES 2006-2007, to be released by the fall of 2008.

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE: **D+**

OVERALL GRADE: **C+**